

ENC DATA QUALITY INDICATORS - REPORTING FORM

(to be returned to the IHB by 31 March 2010
E-mail: info@ihb.mc - Fax: +377 93 10 81 40)

Member State:

Contact: E-mail:

Which of the existing Data Quality Indicators are populated in your ENCs?

Please complete the following table. If you do not use or populate a particular S-57 Object or Attribute please indicate the reason in the comment column. Any other comments (for example, the meaning of attribute is unclear or ambiguous) will be helpful.

Object / Attribute	Used or Populated (Yes / No)	Comment
M_ACCY	No	We don't have enough data for encoding M_ACCY, therefore, we have not encoded M_ACCY, and we don't have any plans to encode M_ACCY.
HORACC	No	
POSACC	No	
SOUACC	No	
VERACC	No	
M_QUAL	Yes	We have encoded M_QUAL, because it is mandatory object. But CATZOC value is encoded with 'U' (data not assessed). But, we intend to assess all survey data and to encode CATZOC in this year.
CATZOC	Yes	
M_SREL	No	
SURATH	No	
SURSTA	No	
SUREND	No	
TECSOU	Yes	We have encoded the TECSOU, if necessary.

Additional comments (if required)

It should be considered that populating more information in ENCs needs their producer to pay more cost.

Name/Signature:

Date:

NOMINATION for MEMBERSHIP of the DQWG

(to be returned to the IHB by 31 March 2010
E-mail : info@ihb.mc - Fax : +377 93 10 81 40)

Member State:

Based on the DQWG ToR at Annex C, and taking into account the current membership (www.ihb-net.net/mtg_docs/com_wg/DQWG/DQWG_MISC/DQWG_Members.pdf):

- Do you confirm your nominee(s), if he/she/they appear(s) in the existing membership list?

YES

☒

NO

☐

Not Relevant

☐

- Do you wish to propose a candidate as DQWG member?

YES

☐

NO

☐

If yes, name of
candidate:

E-mail:

Comments (if required)

none

Name/Signature:

Shige Kato

Date:

25 March 2010