Day 1 – Questions and Issues

S-111 Presentation – Kurt Hess

Issues

- Developers need to provide QA/QC and uncertainty data
- o For S-111 Uncertainty for 5 variables: horizontal position, vertical position, time, speed, direction
- o QA/QC: see S-111, Annex D
- Suggestions (from S-102) for chunking in HDF5
- o http://davis.lbl.gov/Manuals/HDF5
- 1.8.7/Advanced/Chunking/Chunking_Tutorial_EOS13_2009.pdf
- Data compression (ZIP) and encryption are described in S-100 Part 15
- o Files are compressed with ZIP, possibly using the DEFLATE option
- o Encrypt after ZIP compression with an Advanced Encryption Standard (AES) method
- PS should have use cases for Alerts and Indications (S-126)
- o Requires a new feature type
- o Keyed to spatial (i.e., geographic region) and time (high water, flood/ebb) elements
- o Needed data: current speeds, tidal amplitude
- o Instructions to be added to Portrayal Catalog
- How to implement Marine Resource Names (MRN)
- o Form for publications (URN=Uniform Resource Name):
- o urn:mrn:iho:pub:<pub type>:<pub

name/number:<ed number>:<correction>:<clarification>:<optional information>

- o **Sample**: urn:mrn:iho:pub:spec:S111:1:0:0
- Should there be a standard value for 'missing value' or 'unknown value'?
- o In S-111, for uncertainty use -1.0
- o For missing value, user specified 'fill' value
- o S-102 uses 1,000,000.0 for missing or unknown value
- Dataset naming convention (S-97, Part A)
- o XXXCCCCnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnn
- o -XXX = '111', CCCC = producer code, 'nn..' = user-defined characters.
- Uncertainty for entire dataset, or for each value (e.g., bathymetry)?
- HDF5: Regular Grid Spacing for reading and writing

Next Steps:

- Continue developing & consulting on HDF5 file formats
- Clarify S-111 interpretation of specifications as needed

- Respond to requests arising from implementation
- by test bed creators and OEMs
- Review S-111 Ed. 1.0.1
- Develop XML Exchange Datasets
- Continue uncertainty estimations
- Revise definition of uncertainty
- o Add uncertainty method to HDF5 attributes
- Review by TWCWG
- Develop software for data quality measures

S-104 Presentation – Zarina Jayaswal

Issues

- Gridded Hydroid name
 - o Propose changing name to 'Datum Separation"

- Uncertainty of need for 'Areas of Influence'
 - o Simple boundary limiting to ENC Nav purpose 5 and 6 scale charts
 - o Co-tidal lines (height and time differences to a single station)
 - o How to handle multiple stations that occur on a single ENC?
 - TWCWG4 input: discussion on the nearest obs stations + validity and availability flag test OR the reference observations (following the use done for tide prediction using a tide gauge reference (advantage: long time series,-> better estimation of tidal harmonics; or if no tide: better estimates of uncertainty on the ref. observations, + regular control on it, etc.)
- Definition of Water Level Trend
 - o Is 0.20m threshold applicable to areas with small and large water level range?
 - Criteria is probably a strong constraint.
 - TWCWG4+: provide the criteria you'd propose for this purpose.
- Are definitions for "Water Level Height" & "Datum Difference Value" needed?
- Is there a need to portray of gridded water level data?
 - o see some example on derived product for S-102
- Resolve tidal zones for AIS messages
- How to deal with real-time observations under maintenance
- Unique name S-100 WG raised if this should be in-line with Maritime Resource Name concept. Currently we define it at 12.4 as being published Port Name in tide tables and the unique identifier as the - port number as given in Tide Tables

====Feedback from members of TWCWG:

Raised concerns: The most fundamental concern is how to get version 1.0 completed this year. This leads to the next concern on what the product is intended to look like. There are a number of different graphic displays that need to be defined in detail. Additionally, none of us see a methodology for allowing the chart bathymetry to change based on the gridded or point bases water level data. There is mention of a gridded hydroid product but we are not sure how that would work.

Is some test cases selected on IHO web + others examples on bathymetry + water level demonstrator could help to fix this point?

Approach that we are laying out in S-104. From NOAA's perspective, we think that a zoning scheme needs to be defined to allow bathymetry to change but while we have suggested adding it to the specifications that has not happened. In version that will be updated.

NOAA and S-100WG think there are some options on what can be included in version 1.0 but this needs the whole working group to be engaged.

S-104 use cases : ask for some volunteers : Dead line to declare himself volunteer to join S-104 volunteers team : **2019-04-30**.

S-104 use case definition:

Ex:

- TestBedReport.pdf https://www.iho.int/mtg_docs/com_wg/S-100WG/S-100WG3/S-100WG3-8.5_S100_TestBedReport.pdf: interesting for the frame that gives a clear plan of what could be in a test cases definition.
- S-100 trail: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Z8FhC2OUdXU

Surface current encoding test outputs:

- NOAA Erin et al.: provide a synthetic view on the work that have been done on S-111 encoding test.
- Set of python packages

Tool Thyme (open source python source)

S100py package-S111.py.

- Japan provided their output HDF5 S-111 and presented their main steps of the encoding process.
- Luis Becker et al. (DE) presented HDF5 encoding on several surface current files. They developed a tool.

Tools issue: (1) Share same tool(s)(using our FTP temporary web site)

(2) Tools for S-104. TWCWG4 plan for S-104 tool : (One for TWCWG4? S-100WG input.)

Briana Sullivan (UNH) S-126 update: S-126_S-111, S-104:

NIPWG and TWCWG main focus (scope of respective actions, + S-126 & S-101 issue)