**DECISIONS and ACTIONS FROM SCUFN-30**

| **Decision/**  **Action** | **Agenda Item** | **Details** | **Deadline & Status**  **(Dec. 2017)** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1** | **Opening and Administrative Arrangements** |  |
| SCUFN30/01 |  | **IHO and IOC Secretariats** to prepare calls for SCUFN Membership vacancies for 4 IHO and 4 IOC representatives with the aim to select upcoming Members by March 2018, so new nominees can investigate possibility of participating in SCUFN31 (2018). | 30 Nov 2017  31 March 2018 |
| SCUFN30/02 |  | **SCUFN Sec.** to call for nomination for SCUFN Chair/Vice-Chair in the invitation letter to SCUFN31, election to take place at the end of SCUFN31. | From April 2018 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **2** | **Introduction by SCUFN Chair – SCUFN ROPs - Approval of Agenda** |  |
| SCUFN30/03 |  | **SCUFN Members** endorsed the amendment of SCUFN TORs and ROPs (Doc. SCUFN30-01D). | Decision |
| SCUFN30/04 |  | **SCUFN Chair/Sec** to submit amendment of SCUFN TORs and ROPs for approval to GGC iaw with Art. 9 of the GGC TORs, copy to IOC Sec. | Mid-October 2017 (**Done,** email SCUFN Sec. 3 Oct.2017) |
| SCUFN30/05 |  | **SCUFN Sec.** to upload the new Edition of the SCUFN TORs and ROPs on the IHO website when approved by GGC. | Dec. 2017 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **2.2** | **Approval of Agenda** |  |
| SCUFN30/06 |  | With regard to the adoption of the agenda, SCUFN Members to consider the fast-track procedure first, then to consider how SCUFN will review JCUFN fast-track proposals submitted for SCUFN30. | Decision |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **3** | **Matters remaining from Previous Meetings** |  |
|  | **3.1** | **Review of Actions from SCUFN-29 and transfer to the relevant agenda items** |  |
| SCUFN30/07 (former SCUFN29/07 and SCUFN28/95) |  | **SCUFN Sec.** to provide all SCUFN Members with their GEBCO Gazetteer Editors username and password so they can:   * log in; * assess the new proposed feature names in EDIT mode; * make editorial corrections directly. | On hold until the new version of the Gazetteer is available (end Oct. 2017). |
| SCUFN30/08 (former SCUFN29/13) |  | **SCUFN Chair/Vice-Chair/Sec** to consider how SCUFN can record rationales supporting decisions made for addressing difficult cases in sessions (cook-book?, live appendix to ToRs? repository of best practices?...), so lessons learned can be re-used in consistent manner in the future.  As a first step, **Han/Roberta/SCUFN Chair** to propose and prepare the creation of a repository of typical cases. | Long term action  SCUFN31 |
| SCUFN30/09 (former SCUFN29/18) |  | Actions planned for Lomrog Hill are cancelled. | Decision |
| SCUFN30/10 (former SCUFN29/69) |  | Proposal for Doña Idelisa Passage is kept as PENDING. **Walter** to liaise with the proposer to provide justification on the specific term and information on the track lines. Then, information to be reviewed by **SCUFN Members** by correspondence. | SCUFN31 |
| SCUFN30/11 (former SCUFN29/70) |  | Proposal for [Las Jorobadas Passage](http://www.iho.int/iho_pubs/scufn/Dominican_Republic_ANAMAR/02_English_Spanish_UFNproposal%20form_%20Jorobadas%20Passage.pdf) is kept as PENDING. **Walter** to liaise with the proposer to provide information about track lines. Then, information to be reviewed by **SCUFN Members** by correspondence. | SCUFN31 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4** | **Proposals Submitted during Intersessional Period** |  |
|  | **4.1** | **From USA, Schmidt Ocean Institute** |  |
| SCUFN30/12 |  | Proposal for Cenotaph Seamount is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN30/13 |  | Proposal for Nautilus Seamount is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN30/14 |  | Proposal for Phobos Seamount is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
|  | **4.2** | **From USA, Center for Coastal & Ocean Mapping/Joint Hydrographic Center, University of New Hampshire** |  |
| SCUFN30/15 |  | Proposal for Inouye Seamount is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
|  | **4.3** | **From Republic of Palau, Territory and Boundary Task Force, Office of the President** |  |
| SCUFN30/16 |  | Proposal for Babeldaob Ridge is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN30/17 |  | Proposal for East Babeldaob Ridge is ACCEPTED. New polygon coordinates to be provided by the proposer. | End of October 2017 |
| SCUFN30/18 |  | Proposal for Ngedebuul Seamount is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN30/19 |  | Proposal for Rteluul Seamount is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN30/20 |  | Proposal for Ongedechuul Seamount is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN30/21 |  | Proposal for Imeungs [~~Seamount~~] is ACCEPTED with the generic term changed to Peak. **Generic Terms Subgroup** will consider revising the definition of PEAK. | Decision |
| SCUFN30/22 |  | Proposal for Ngerbuns Seamount is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN30/23 |  | Proposal for Belias Seamount is ACCEPTED. Coordinates of the summit to be provided by the proposer. | End of October 2017 |
| SCUFN30/24 |  | Proposal for Ngerdubech Seamount is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN30/25 |  | Proposal for Kerradel Seamount is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN30/26 |  | Proposal for Kiueluul Seamount is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN30/27 |  | Proposal for Ngetelngal [~~Seamount~~] is kept as PENDING with the generic term changed to Peak, until the proposer makes a complementary submission for the associate ridge. | SCUFN31 |
| SCUFN30/28 |  | Proposal for Oldias Seamount is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN30/29 |  | Proposal for Ngermeuangel Basin is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN30/30 |  | Proposals for Ngeteklou Basin and Tbekuulii Basin are kept as PENDING as the proposer is invited to provide a new polygon for a single large basin composed of the two small ones, and a single specific term for this basin[[1]](#footnote-1). | Dec. 2017 |
| SCUFN30/31 |  | Proposal for Ongeim'l Tketau Basin is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
|  | **4.4** | **From New Zealand, New Zealand Geographic Board (NZGB) and New Zealand Hydrographic Office (LINZ)** |  |
| SCUFN30/32 |  | Proposal for Taranui Seamount is ACCEPTED with a note to be added in the Gazetteer as also known as Mobil Seamount. |  |
| SCUFN30/33 |  | Proposal for Te Punga Hill was considered under the fast-track procedure and is ACCEPTED with a note to be added in the Gazetteer as also known as Telecom Knoll. |  |
| SCUFN30/34 |  | **GGC** to consider submitting a proposal to SCUFN by which proposers would be invited in the future to release their data for supporting Seabed 2030 and the GEBCO bathymetric database. | SCUFN31 |
| SCUFN30/35 |  | Fast-track Proposal for Vaughan Seamount is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN30/36 |  | Fast-track Proposal for Erebus Basin is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN30/37 |  | Fast-track Proposal for Lewis Basin is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN30/38 |  | Fast-track Proposal for Seelig Saddle is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN30/39 |  | Fast-track Proposal for Western Shelf is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN30/40 |  | Fast-track Proposal for Western Slope is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN30/41 |  | Fast-track Proposal for Wilson Sea Channel is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
|  | **4.5** | **From USA, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution.** |  |
| SCUFN30/42 |  | Proposal for Demeter Pass is NOT ACCEPTED due to background data. Proposer to provide complementary data (multibeam survey data, GEBCO data and data from the Ridge programme (GeoMapApp)) in a future submission, and consider using generic term Gap or Passage rather than Pass in accordance with B-6. | 2 months prior to SCUFN31 |
| SCUFN30/43 |  | Proposal for Hecate Ridge is NOT ACCEPTED due to background data. Proposer to provide complementary data (multibeam survey data, GEBCO data and data from the Ridge programme (GeoMapApp)) in a future submission. | 2 months prior to SCUFN31 |
|  | **4.6** | **From Brazil, Geology and Geophysics Laboratory of Rio Grande do Norte Federal University.** |  |
| SCUFN30/44 |  | Proposal for Acu Canyon is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN30/45 |  | Proposal for Apodi Canyon is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN30/46 |  | Proposal for Areia Branca Canyon is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN30/47 |  | Proposal for Ceará [~~Trough~~] is ACCEPTED with the generic term changed to Gap (as the sides are not symmetric). |  |
| SCUFN30/48 |  | Proposal for Grassos Canyon is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN30/49 |  | Proposal for Macau Canyon is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN30/50 |  | Proposal for Mossoró Canyon is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN30/51 |  | Proposal for Ponta do Mel Canyon is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN30/52 |  | Proposal for Porto do Mangue Canyon is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
|  | **4.7** | **From Japan, Japanese Committee on Undersea Feature Names (JCUFN)** |  |
| SCUFN30/53 |  | Proposal for Rukan Ridge is ACCEPTED. **Generic Terms Subgroup** will consider defining a new generic term MINOR RIDGE. | Decision |
| SCUFN30/54 |  | Proposal for Kerama Ridges is kept as PENDING waiting for recommendations from the **Generic Term SubGroup**. Primitives to be defined (multiple lines+pol). | SCUFN31 |
| SCUFN30/55 |  | Proposal for Tokashiki Seamount is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN30/56 |  | Proposal for Zamami Seamount is ACCEPTED with polygon to be reduced in the south. |  |
| SCUFN30/57 |  | Proposal for Aka [~~Hill~~] is ACCEPTED with the generic term changed to Seamount. |  |
| SCUFN30/58 |  | Proposal for Yakabi [~~Hill~~] is ACCEPTED with the generic term changed to Seamount. |  |
| SCUFN30/59 |  | Proposal for Naoya Seamount is ACCEPTED, with some attributes to be changed in the proposal. |  |
| SCUFN30/60 |  | Proposal for Doppo Seamount is ACCEPTED, with some attributes to be changed in the proposal. |  |
| SCUFN30/61 |  | Proposal for Ogai Seamount is ACCEPTED, with some attributes to be changed in the proposal, and polygon to be modified in the NW part. |  |
| SCUFN30/62 |  | Proposal for Ryunosuke Seamount is ACCEPTED, with some attributes to be changed in the proposal. |  |
| SCUFN30/63 |  | Proposal for Soseki [~~Ridges~~] is ACCEPTED with the generic term changed to Ridge, and some attributes to be changed in the proposal. |  |
| SCUFN30/64 |  | Proposal for Tsubouchi Knolls is ACCEPTED, with some attributes to be changed in the proposal and minor modification to the polygon to be provided by the proposer. |  |
| SCUFN30/65 |  | Proposal for Tokutomi Hills is ACCEPTED, with the polygon to be reduced by the proposer in order to fit with the definition. |  |
| SCUFN30/66 |  | Proposal for Koyo Ridge is ACCEPTED with the polygon to be extended a little bit to the SE by the proposer. |  |
| SCUFN30/67 |  | Proposal for Dazai Ridge is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN30/68 |  | Proposal for Ichiyo Hill is ACCEPTED, with the polygon to be reduced by the proposer in order to fit with the definition. |  |
| SCUFN30/69 |  | Proposal for Ogami Ridge is ACCEPTED, with some attributes to be changed in the proposal. |  |
| SCUFN30/70 |  | Proposal for Pisseogan Knoll is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN30/71 |  | Proposal for Tsufutsuwa Seamount is ACCEPTED, with some attributes to be changed in the proposal. |  |
| SCUFN30/72 |  | Proposal for Tsuken Hill is ACCEPTED, with the polygon to be reduced by the proposer in order to fit with the definition. |  |
| SCUFN30/73 |  | Proposal for Henza Hills is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN30/74 |  | Proposal for Bakin Seamount is ACCEPTED, with some attributes to be changed in the proposal. |  |
| SCUFN30/75 |  | Proposal for Kyuya Seamount is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN30/76 |  | Proposal for Ikku Seamount is ACCEPTED, with the polygon to be reduced by the proposer. |  |
| SCUFN30/77 |  | Proposal for Shiki Seamount is ACCEPTED, with some attributes to be changed in the proposal. |  |
| SCUFN30/78 |  | Proposal for Basho Seamount is ACCEPTED, with some attributes to be changed in the proposal. |  |
| SCUFN30/79 |  | Proposal for Akiko Seamount is ACCEPTED, with some attributes to be changed in the proposal. |  |
| SCUFN30/80 |  | Proposal for Kyoshi Seamount is ACCEPTED, with some attributes to be changed in the proposal. |  |
| SCUFN30/81 |  | Proposal for Kudaka Hill is ACCEPTED, with the polygon to be reduced by the proposer in order to fit with the definition. |  |
| SCUFN30/82 |  | Proposal for Shimei [~~Ridge~~] is ACCEPTED, with the generic term changed to Seamount, and the polygon to be extended by the proposer in order to fit with the definition. |  |
| SCUFN30/83 |  | Proposal for Great Writers Seamount Province is ACCEPTED, with some attributes to be changed in the proposal and the proposer to check that all individual polygons are within the polygon of the province. |  |
| SCUFN30/84 |  | ~~Fast-track~~ Proposal for Ko-Hakucho Guyot is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN30/85 |  | ~~Fast-track~~ Proposal for O-Hakucho Guyot is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN30/86 |  | ~~Fast-track~~ Proposal for Magan Seamount is ACCEPTED, with minor reduction of the polygon in the NE part to be made. |  |
| SCUFN30/87 |  | ~~Fast-track~~ Proposal for Hakugan [~~Seamount~~] is ACCEPTED with the generic term changed to Seamounts, and the polygon to be reduced in the East part and replacing cross-profiles. |  |
| SCUFN30/88 |  | ~~Fast-track~~ Proposal for Hishikui Seamount is kept as PENDING waiting for complementary information from the proposer (cross profiles, extent of the feature to be corrected...). |  |
| SCUFN30/89 |  | All “fast-track” proposals #6 to #43 submitted by **JCUFN** in 2017 to be re-submitted through normal procedure for SCUFN31. |  |
|  | **4.8** | **From Republic of Korea – KHOA** |  |
| SCUFN30/90 |  | Proposal for Wangdol Canyon is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN30/91 |  | Proposal for Uljin Hill is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN30/92 |  | Proposal for Seorak Seamount is ACCEPTED |  |
| SCUFN30/93 |  | Proposal for Satgat Seamount is ACCEPTED. |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.9** | **From China, CCUFN** |  |
| SCUFN30/94 |  | Proposal for Jianfeng [~~Seamount~~] is ACCEPTED with the polygon to be reduced on the East side (following 2200 m isobath), and the generic term changed to Knoll. |  |
| SCUFN30/95 |  | Proposal for Shishen Seamount is ACCEPTED, the total relief to be recalculated in the proposal. |  |
| SCUFN30/96 |  | Proposal for Shixing [~~Seamount~~] is ACCEPTED with the generic term changed to Seamounts. |  |
| SCUFN30/97 |  | Proposal for Shuangfeng Seamount is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN30/98 |  | Proposal for Shuangfengxi [~~Hill~~] is ACCEPTED with the generic term changed to Hills, and polygon to be modified in the NW part. |  |
| SCUFN30/99 |  | Proposal for Wanhu [~~Seamount~~] is ACCEPTED with the generic term changed to Hills, and polygon to be reduced in the W and E sides. |  |
| SCUFN30/100 |  | Proposal for Wangzhen Seamount is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN30/101 |  | Proposal for Yixing Seamount is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN30/102 |  | Proposal for Yitong Canyons is ACCEPTED, with the polygon to be changed to multiple lines depicting the canyons, provided in a single shape file. |  |
| SCUFN30/103 |  | Proposal for Zhangzhong Seamount is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN30/104 |  | Proposal for Huaixu [~~Ridge~~] is ACCEPTED, with the generic term changed to Seamount. |  |
| SCUFN30/105 |  | Proposal for Jixia Hill is kept as PENDING, more data to be provided by the proposer to understand the general morphology in the area. | SCUFN31 |
| SCUFN30/106 |  | **SCUFN Generic Term Subgroup** to prepare a strawman paper proposing a general strategy and possible guidelines defining the optimal horizontal resolution between undersea features, that are eligible for naming.  (Aim: clutter reduction, inflation, consistency of naming with associated features, better management, scale-dependent feature naming, etc.) | First draft to be discussed at SCUFN31 |
| SCUFN30/107 |  | Proposal for Shouyang [~~Ridge~~] is ACCEPTED, with the generic term changed to Seamount. |  |
| SCUFN30/108 |  | Proposal for Lichun Seamount is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN30/109 |  | Proposal for Jingzhe Seamount is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN30/110 |  | Proposal for Chunfen [~~Knoll~~] is ACCEPTED, with the generic term changed to Hill. |  |
| SCUFN30/111 |  | Proposal for Qingming Seamount is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN30/112 |  | Proposal for Guyu Seamount is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN30/113 |  | Proposal for Lixia Seamount is NOT ACCEPTED, as no clear distinction could be made with the near-by existing Shinjuboshi Escarpment. |  |
| SCUFN30/114 |  | Proposal for Xiaoman [~~Seamounts~~] is ACCEPTED, with the generic term changed to Hill, and the polygon to be reduced around the west feature only. |  |
| SCUFN30/115 |  | Proposal for Mangzhong Basin is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN30/116 |  | Proposal for Xiazhi Seamount is NOT ACCEPTED, as part of the CBF Rise. |  |
| SCUFN30/117 |  | **Yas** to provide a polygon for the CBF Rise that will supersede the line in the GEBCO Gazetteer. |  |
| SCUFN30/118 |  | Proposal for Yushui Seamounts is NOT ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN30/119 |  | Proposal for Wangdayuan Seamount is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN30/120 |  | Proposal for Fengyan Seamount is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN30/121 |  | **SCUFN Members** to consider the possibility of upgrading the quality of the geometry of existing major undersea features of the GEBCO Gazetteer during intersessional period (10 features /year/member), using the EDIT mode. | Report at SCUFN31 |
| SCUFN30/122 |  | Proposal for Gufeng Ridge is NOT ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN30/123 |  | Proposal for Xiongzhi Ridge is NOT ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN30/124 |  | Proposal for Yuhuang Ridge is NOT ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN30/125 |  | Proposal for Duanqiao Hill is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN30/126 |  | Proposal for Daxi [~~Ridge~~] is ACCEPTED, with the generic term changed to Hill. |  |
| SCUFN30/127 |  | Proposal for Jiugao Guyot is ACCEPTED, with the associated features to refer to the Mid-Pacific Seamounts. |  |
| SCUFN30/128 |  | Proposal for Leyuan Guyot is ACCEPTED, with the associated features to refer to the Mid-Pacific Seamounts. |  |
| SCUFN30/129 |  | Proposal for Zitan Guyot is ACCEPTED, with the associated features to refer to the Mid-Pacific Seamounts, and the reason for choice of name to be corrected. |  |
| SCUFN30/130 |  | Proposal for Weixiong Knoll is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN30/131 |  | Proposal for Weishe Hill is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN30/132 |  | Proposal for Weihui Knoll is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN30/133 |  | Proposal for Baiyun Hill is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN30/134 |  | Proposal for Xiaoge Hill is ACCEPTED, with the polygon to be limited around the main feature. |  |
| SCUFN30/135 |  | Proposal for Nuoju Knoll is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN30/136 |  | Proposal for Zouyu Ridge is NOT ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN30/137 |  | Proposal for Chukochen Fracture Zone is NOT ACCEPTED. |  |
|  | 5 | **Liaison with Other Geographical Name Bodies** |  |
|  | **5.1** | **Advisory Committee on Undersea Features (ACUF) of the US Board on Geographical Names.** |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **5.2** | **Undersea Names Committee of the New Zealand Geographic Board (NZGB)** |  |
| SCUFN30/138 |  | The Report from NZGB (Doc. SCUFN30-05.2A) is noted. | Decision |
| SCUFN30/139 |  | Fast-track proposals (#1 to #23) submitted in 2016 are ACCEPTED excepted:   * #10, kept as PENDING, waiting from complementary information from the proposer; * #13, kept as PENDING, waiting from complementary information from the proposer. Meanwhile, **SCUFN Sec.** to delete the existing Raukumara Plain from the Gazetteer; * #18, kept as PENDING, suggesting that the polygon is reduced, and generic term changed to Basin. | SCUFN31 |
|  | **5.3** | **UN Group of Experts on Geographical Names (UNGEGN)** |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **5.4** | **Marine Regions** |  |
| SCUFN30/140 |  | **Marine Regions** to consider the possibility of providing SCUFN Sec. with the list of possible issues (anomalies, discrepancies…) once a year (February) for quality assurance purposes. | Permanent |
| SCUFN30/141 |  | **Marine Regions** to consider the possibility of contributing in the work of the UFN Project Team as subject matter expert. |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **6** | **Standardization of Undersea Feature Names: IHO-IOC Publication B-6** |  |
|  | **06A&B** | **Initial review of a draft new Edition of Publication B-6 and insertion of a fast-track procedure (Sec.)** |  |
| SCUFN30/142 |  | **SCUFN Sec.** to update the list of geographical naming authorities in B-6, and consider to remove it from B-6 in the future Edition, but upload it on the IHO SCUFN webpage in order to facilitate the maintenance of this list. | Dec. 2017 |
| SCUFN30/143 |  | **SCUFN** decided that the fast-track procedure should be limited, for the time being, to undersea feature names that are in long term (25 years or longer) common use and appear on published charts, maps or in scientific literature (not applicable to new proposals). | Decision |
| SCUFN30/144 |  | **SCUFN Generic Term** Subgroup to review definitions highlighted in yellow in the draft Ed. 4.2.0 of B-6 (Doc. SCUFN30-06B). | March 2018 |
| SCUFN30/145 |  | **SCUFN Sec** to circulate a new draft consolidated Ed. 4.2.0 of B-6 (including **Yas**’update of the example in Appendix A – bathymetric maps, profiles and 3D figure).  **SCUFN Members** to consider the approval of Ed. 4.2.0 by correspondence.  then **SCUFN Sec** to submit Ed. 4.2.0 to GGC for endorsement at GGC-35 (2018). | March 2018  By 31 March 2018  By 30 June 2018 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **7** | **Gazetteer of Undersea Feature Names** |  |
|  | **7.1A&B** | **Maintenance of the on-line interface to GEBCO Gazetteer database.** |  |
| SCUFN30/146 |  | **SCUFN Chair** to report at GGC-34 on the issues raised in the report from NOAA/NCEI for long-term support (Doc. SCUFN30-07.1B) and seek guidance from GGC on the way forward (funding issue…). | Mid-Nov. 2017 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **7.2** | **Maintenance of the GEBCO Gazetteer database (including PENDING names).** |  |
| SCUFN30/147 |  | **SCUFN Members** considered all PENDING names listed in Annex B of Doc. SCUFN30-07.2B and made the following decisions:   * Beiersdorf Peak, to be DELETED and specific term added in the reserved-list, * Donnell Seamount, Endeavour Seamount, São Paulo Seamount to be DELETED, * All the others names that were not solved or addressed previously to be kept PENDING until SCUFN31, then DELETED if no progress. | Decision |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **7.3** | **Interoperability and Prototyping** |  |
| SCUFN30/148 |  | **SCUFN Members** to test the Beta-Gazetteer developed by KHOA and report to Han. |  |
| SCUFN30/149 |  | **KHOA** to prepare a Beta-Gazetteer project management plan (tech spec. roles and responsibilities, lifecycle maintenance and upgrade, acceptance tests, documentation, …) to be further submitted to SCUFN Members (**Walter** lead + **UFN PT** + SCUFN Sec.) | SCUFN31 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **8** | **Undersea Feature Names Project Team (UFNPT)** |  |
|  | **8.1** | **UFNPT Report and Road-map.** |  |
| SCUFN30/150 |  | **SCUFN** endorsed the work plan of the UFN PT presented at SCUFN30. | Decision |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **9** | **Any Other Business – Brief overview of the activities of the Schmidt Ocean Institute (SOI)** |  |
|  | **9.1** | **Overview of the activities of the SOI** |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **9.2** | **Statement by Japan (Observer)** |  |
| SCUFN30/151 |  | **SCUFN** took note of the statement by Japan on some attributes used in the ROK's proposals. | Decision |
|  | **9.3** | **Statement by Lin** |  |
| SCUFN30/152 |  | **CCUFN** to resubmit the proposals that were postponed in 2016. |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **10** | **SCUFN Programme of Work – Review of the draft List of Decisions and Actions** |  |
|  | **10.1** | **SCUFN Programme of Work and Draft List of Decisions and Actions from SCUFN30** |  |
| SCUFN30/153 |  | **SCUFN** endorsed the draft SCUFN Work Plan 2018-19 to be submitted to GGC. |  |
| SCUFN30/154 |  | Periodic status report on this list of decisions and actions, to be requested/distributed by the **Secretary** on **30 Dec. 2017, 30 March 2018,** and **30 June 2018.** |  |
|  | **11** | **Dates and Venues for the Next Meetings** |  |
| SCUFN30/155 |  | **Vaughan** to confirm to Sec. the possibility of hosting SCUFN-31 in Wellington, NZ in 2018 (22-26 Oct 2018). | End of Oct 2017 |
| SCUFN30/156 |  | **Lin** confirmed the offer to host SCUFN31 in China (8-12 Oct 2018) as a possible alternative in the case there is no confirmation by NZ. |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **12** | **Conclusion** |  |
|  |  |  |  |

1. SCUFN Members will consider approving the finalized proposal by correspondence. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)